Universal Periodic Review
Stakeholder Report: 21st Session, Turkey

The Right to Privacy in Turkey

Submitted by: Privacy International
'No one shall be subjected to arbitrary interference with his privacy, family, home or correspondence.'

The Universal Declaration of Human Rights
Introduction

This stakeholder report is a submission by Privacy International (PI). PI is a human rights organisation that works to advance and promote the right to privacy and fight surveillance around the world. PI wishes to bring concerns about the protection and promotion of the right to privacy in Turkey before the Human Rights Council for consideration in Turkey’s upcoming review.

The right to privacy

Privacy is a fundamental human right, enshrined in numerous international human rights instruments. It is central to the protection of human dignity and forms the basis of any democratic society. It also supports and reinforces other rights, such as freedom of expression, information and association. The right to privacy embodies the presumption that individuals should have an area of autonomous development, interaction and liberty, a “private sphere” with or without interaction with others, free from arbitrary State intervention and from excessive unsolicited intervention by other uninvited individuals. Activities that restrict the right to privacy, such as surveillance and censorship, can only be justified when they are prescribed by law, necessary to achieve a legitimate aim, and proportionate to the aim pursued.

As innovations in information technology have enabled previously unimagined forms of collecting, storing and sharing personal data, the right to privacy has evolved to encapsulate State obligations related to the protection of personal data. A number of international instruments enshrine data protection principles, and many domestic legislatures have incorporated such principles into national law.

---


3 Universal Declaration of Human Rights Article 29; General Comment No. 27, Adopted by The Human Rights Committee Under Article 40, Paragraph 4, Of The International Covenant On Civil And Political Rights, CCPR/C/21/Rev.1/Add.9, November 2, 1999; see also Martin Scheinin, “Report of the Special Rapporteur on the promotion and protection of human rights and fundamental freedoms while countering terrorism,” 2009, A/HRC/17/34.

4 Human Rights Committee general comment No. 16 (1988) on the right to respect of privacy, family, home and correspondence, and protection of honour and reputation (art. 17).

5 See the Council of Europe Convention for the Protection of Individuals with regard to Automatic Processing of Personal Data (No. 108), 1981; the Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development Guidelines on the Protection of Privacy and Transborder Data Flows of Personal Data (1980); and the Guidelines for the regulation of computerized personal data files (General Assembly resolution 45/95 and E/CN.4/1990/72)

6 As of December 2013, 101 countries had enacted data protection legislation: David Banisar, National Comprehensive Data Protection/Privacy Laws and Bills 2014 Map (January 28, 2014),
Follow up to the previous UPR

Although Turkey’s national report for the previous UPR noted that amendments to its Constitution had expanded “the scope and extent of the right … to privacy of individual life”, no mention of privacy was made in the Working Group’s Report. Additionally, despite illegal wiretapping scandals in Turkey in 2008 and 2009, communications surveillance was not mentioned in the Working Group’s report.

Domestic laws and regulations related to privacy

Article 20 of Turkey’s Constitution protects the right to privacy and the right to data protection:

Everyone has the right to demand respect for his/her private and family life. Privacy of private or family life shall not be violated.

Unless there exists a decision duly given by a judge on one or several of the grounds of national security, public order, prevention of crime, protection of public health and public morals, or protection of the rights and freedoms of others, or unless there exists a written order of an agency authorized by law, in cases where delay is prejudicial, again on the above-mentioned grounds, neither the person, nor the private papers, nor belongings of an individual shall be searched nor shall they be seized. …

Everyone has the right to request the protection of his/her personal data. This right includes being informed of, having access to and requesting the correction and deletion of his/her personal data, and to be informed whether these are used in consistency with envisaged objectives. Personal data can be processed only in cases envisaged by law or by the person’s explicit consent. The principles and procedures regarding the protection of personal data shall be laid down in law.

Article 22 protects freedom of communication:

Everyone has the freedom of communication. Privacy of communication is fundamental.

Unless there exists a decision duly given by a judge on one or several of the grounds of national security, public order, prevention of crime, protection of public health and public morals, or protection of the rights and freedoms of others, or unless there exists a written order of an agency authorized by law in cases where delay is prejudicial, again on the above-mentioned grounds, communication shall not be impeded nor its privacy be violated. …

Public institutions and agencies where exceptions may be applied are prescribed in law.

available at SSRN: http://ssrn.com/abstract=1951416 or http://dx.doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.1951416

7 http://www.freedomhouse.org/report/freedonet/2013/turkey#.U5GR3V6VhEI
The Criminal Code contains provisions relating to privacy, including Article 134, which makes violating the secrecy of private life an offence punishable by imprisonment or a fine, and Article 135, which makes the unlawful recording of personal data an offence punishable by imprisonment. Articles 136 and 138 offer additional protections for privacy. The Civil Code also contains provisions that protect the right to privacy.

Areas of concern

Lack of data protection legislation

A packet of constitutional amendments was approved by referendum in 2010. These included adding explicit recognition of the right to data protection to the Constitution. However, this constitutional protection for the right has not been appropriately supported by domestic legislation. While data protection issues are addressed in a number of pieces of legislation, Turkey has no specific data protection legislation and lacks a national data protection authority. A proposed law on data protection has been drafted, but has not yet been approved by the Turkish Parliament. This draft does not envisage the creation of an independent data protection authority.

The absence of data protection legislation permits rights-limiting practices to occur in Turkey. Examples include:

- In July 2012 it was revealed that Turkey’s largest internet service provider had installed a service called “Phorm” on its networks. According to Freedom House, this behavioural advertising service “collects information on users’ online behavior without their knowledge, performing deep-packet inspection (DPI) to essentially monitor a user’s connection line and create a profile of the individual’s online activities to then sell to advertisers”.

- The Department of Education created a “mobile portal” for students in primary and secondary education and their parents to receive information such as exam results; in 2012, personal information on 17 million students was sold to mobile network operators, which used the database for targeted advertising.

- In 2013, the Ministry of Health reportedly established a centralised health record database without seeking patients’ consent and sold information contained on the database to private companies. Physicians raised significant concerns around the database and encroachment on patients’ right to privacy.

---


Additionally, Turkey has a mandatory identity card; each card is linked to a unique identity number.\(^1\)\(^2\) The existence of an identity card system enables disparate identifying information about a person that is stored in different databases to be easily linked and analysed through data mining techniques. This creates a significant privacy vulnerability. Plans to introduce a biometric identity card are in progress. Biometrics refers to the measurement of unique and distinctive physical, biological and behavioural characteristics.\(^1\)\(^3\) Particularly in the absence of a strong data protection regime, biometric data – extremely sensitive data – is open to misuse and abuse.

**Surveillance of mobile communications**

There is a widespread perception in Turkey that mobile communications are monitored by state agencies on a large scale, which, in addition to imperilling the right to privacy, has a chilling effect on freedom of expression.\(^1\)\(^4\)

Turkey has mandatory SIM card registration, with registration tied to the user’s national identity number.\(^1\)\(^5\) In the absence of data protection legislation, SIM users’ information can be shared with government departments and matched with other private and public databases, enabling the state to create comprehensive profiles of individual citizens. Mandatory SIM card registration facilitates the establishment of extensive databases of user information, eradicating the potential for anonymity of communications, enabling location-tracking, and simplifying communications surveillance and interception.

**Internet censorship and surveillance**

In February 2014, a controversial new law came into force allowing the Turkish Telecommunications Authority (TIB) to order the removal of content from websites, in some cases without having first obtained a court order. This law amended a 2007 law\(^1\)\(^6\) that introduced internet censorship in Turkey and saw the entirety of the popular website YouTube blocked for a number of months.\(^1\)\(^7\)

---


\(^1\)\(^6\) Law No 5651 “on regulation of publications on the internet and combating crimes by means of such publications” entered into force on 23 May 2007.

number of websites that have been blocked is not public, but civil society groups estimate that, as of June 2014, more than 44,000 websites are blocked by the TIB.\(^{18}\)

The new law not only has implications for the right to freedom of expression, but also the right to privacy. Internet service providers were already required to store records of internet activity; the new law extends this requirement to other internet companies. The period of storage is two years.\(^{19}\) Internet service providers and other companies must provide traffic data (which is insufficiently defined in law), including identifying information, to the TIB on request, without informing users. Once it has obtained the data, the TIB can then retain it indefinitely.\(^{20}\) Yaman Akdeniz, a law professor at Istanbul’s Bilgi University, has referred to the law as an “Orwellian nightmare”.\(^{21}\)

There are private surveillance industry products with invasive capabilities in Turkey. The Citizen Lab, an interdisciplinary laboratory based at the University of Toronto, has found evidence that a programme called “PackageShaper”, produced by Blue Coat Systems, a United States-based company, is in Turkey. This programme is used for internet filtering and Citizen Lab has described it as a “dual-use” technology, because its data-gathering capacities could be used for surveillance.\(^{22}\) Spyware – programmes that give a customer the ability to observe and control a targeted person’s computer – produced by Italian company Hacking Team and by United Kingdom-German company Gamma International, has also been found in Turkey.\(^{23}\) Such spyware permits a customer to intercept passwords and emails as a user of the device types them in and even remotely turn on a device’s microphone to record conversations going on nearby.\(^{24}\)

### Expanded powers of National Intelligence Agency

In April 2014, a law expanding the powers of the National Intelligence Agency entered into force.\(^{25}\) This law has been strongly criticised by human rights groups, with Human Rights Watch stating: \(^{26}\)


\(^{18}\) See, http://engelliweb.com/kategoriler/ a site that monitors which sites have been blocked in Turkey.


\(^{20}\) See Stupp above.


\(^{25}\) The “Law Amending the Law on State Intelligence Services and the National Intelligence Agency” (Devlet İstihbarat Hizmetleri ve Milli İstihbarat Teşkilatı Kanununda Değişiklik

The new law gives the intelligence agency sweeping powers to amass private data, documents, and information about individuals in all forms without the need for a court order from public bodies, banks, archives, companies, and other legal entities, as well as from organizations without legal status. The law makes provision of all such information to MIT [the National Intelligence Agency] obligatory and overrides provisions in any other laws or bylaws limiting the provision of such data.

Another provision of the new law makes it a criminal offense punishable with prison sentences ranging from two to five years to prevent the MIT from carrying out its duties and exercising its authority. So failure to supply private data requested by the agency could be interpreted as obstructing the agency from carrying out its work and could be punishable with a prison sentence.

Turkey’s laws in general fail to enshrine any clear limitations on the scope of retention and access to private data. The new MIT law fundamentally undermines the right to privacy by permitting the agency unfettered access to data without judicial oversight or review.

Furthermore, the new law permits the agency to “collect data relating to external intelligence, national defense, terrorism, international crimes and cyber security passing via telecommunication channels” without specifying the need for a court order. Beyond this measure, with the authorization of the head of agency or deputy heads, the law gives the intelligence agency the authority to intercept calls overseas, and calls by foreigners and pay phones, and analyze and store the data.

Recommendations

We recommend that the government of Turkey:

• Immediately repeals the April 2014 amendments to Law No 6532 (on State Intelligence Services and National Intelligence Agency);

• Immediately repeals the February 2014 amendments to Law No 5651 (on regulation of publications on the internet and combating crimes by means of such publications);

• As an urgent matter, enacts data protection legislation that complies with international standards and establishes an independent data protection authority;

• Removes the requirement for mandatory SIM card registration;

---

• Recognises and takes steps towards compliance with the *International Principles on the Application of Human Rights to Communications Surveillance;*

• Ensures that there are appropriate controls to prevent the use of private surveillance industry products to facilitate human rights abuses.

---

27 Available at: https://en.necessaryandproportionate.org/text