

HO Ref:

[REDACTED]

The purpose of the review is to ensure that the individual remains suitable for Electronic Monitoring (EM) and any supplementary conditions continue to be necessary and proportionate in light of the facts at the date the review is undertaken.

| SUBJECT'S DETAILS: | | | | | | |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| PID Full Name: Nationality: Date Monitoring Started: | Date of Birth Immigration Bail Type: | | | | | |
| BAIL ADDRESS: | TAGGING CONDITIONS: | | | | | |
| Address: | | | | | | |
| Telephone Number: | | | | | | |
| Email: | | | | | | |
| OFFENDING HISTORY: | | | | | | |
| INSERT | | | | | | |
| HARM / RISKS: | | | | | | |
| Harm Tier: | Risk of Re-offending (is it a MAPPA case?) | | | | | |
| 1. STATE ANY RELEVANT IDENTIFIED VULNERABILITIES / EXCEPTIONS / CHANGES OF CIRCUMSTANCES | | | | | | |
| I have considered the information on this case to determine i | f vulnerabilities or safeguarding issues exist which would | | | | | |
| mean that the current EM bail condition might be disproportionate. However, I have not identified any previously unknown vulnerabilities or other factors that would affect the decision to sustain the electronic monitoring condition. | | | | | | |
| Do these factors suggest an immediate cessation of EM is ne | eded? No | | | | | |
| 2. COMPLIANCE AND BEHAVIOUR | | | | | | |
| Is subject's attendance at reporting events satisfactory? | | | | | | |
| Number of breaches across whole monitored period (including within last 3 months): Compliance with EM conditions across whole monitoring period within acceptable | | | | | | |
| threshold? | | | | | | |
| Number of breaches since last review: Compliance with EM conditions since last review within acceptable threshold? | | | | | | |
| Further criminal offences resulting in a conviction since EM instigated? | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

Absconded, currently lout of contact, or continuously out of contact for more than seven days since last review?

Mitigations sufficient to account for breaches?

Compliance and behavioural assessment

COMPLIANT

I have assessed Mr XXXX overall compliance with his immigration bail conditions, in particular his level of contact and his adherence to electronic monitoring.

Mr XXXX is currently in contact and there have been no occasions where he has been out of contact for a period of time deemed to indicate non-compliance.

I have also separately considered Mr XXXXs compliance with EM. In this respect the evidence indicates that Mr XXXX has largely complied with his EM conditions and there is minimal evidence of breaches across the monitoring period.

He is subject to weekly reporting at ROM - XXXX and has been fully compliant during the current review period.

Sufficiently Compliant?

Yes/No

3. SUPPLEMENTARY CONDITIONS:

Are supplementary conditions in place?

No

Proportionality of the supplementary conditions: NB; N/A if no supplementary conditions or if still subject to any conditions are the periods still appropriate in terms of timing and length? Are there any grounds on which to alter the conditions?

There are no supplementary conditions in this case. Mr XXX is not subject to a curfew and is also not subject to any inclusion or exclusion zones.

CONSIDERATION:

In line with the agreed principles for assessing harmfulness, in particular considering Mr XXXXs Offending History, I have decided it remains proportionate to maintain the existing electronic monitoring conditions on this occasion.

In making this decision, I have examined whether there may be safeguarding issues or vulnerabilities that may make the existing electronic monitoring regime disproportionate. However, on this occasion, I have not identified that any such issues apply.

This decision also considers the period Mr XXXX has already been monitored for as well as taking account of how compliant he has been with his Immigration Bail conditions, as outlined in the bail policy and guidance and as assessed above.

On this occasion, I have determined that compliance overall is currently of a good standard. Although this does not itself outweigh my current decision to maintain the existing electronic monitoring arrangements, ongoing compliance may support a different outcome at a future review.

Current barrier(s) to removal:

| REVIEWER DETAILS: | | |
|-------------------|---------------------------|----------------|
| Name of Reviewer: | | Date of Review |
| Unit: | Electronic Monitoring Hub | Telephone: |

Non Compliant

I have assessed **Mr XXXX** overall compliance with their immigration bail conditions, in particular their level of contact and their adherence to electronic monitoring.

Daily reports indicate that **Mr XXXXs** EM device is currently functional and charged and that he is therefore considered to be currently monitored. However, records show that since monitoring began, **Mr XXXX** has had **1** occasion when he was out of contact for a week or more, with that last period ending on XXXX.

In making my decision, I have taken account of the fact that the last out of contact period was within the latest review period. Consequently I consider **Mr XXXXs** compliance to not currently be of a sufficiently high level.

Mr XXXX is subject to weekly reporting on a Monday at ROM XXXX and evidence shows he

Non-Compliant final paragraph

On this occasion, the level of compliance so far has not been sufficient to demonstrate that transition from the current monitoring regime would be appropriate in this case. Whilst compliance remains insufficient, it is unlikely that any decision to alter the existing electronic monitoring arrangements will be made.